

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United (States) Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box/450 Aldranofia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.dspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,906	10/19/2001	Marc LeFevre	10013125-1	7302	
75	90 12/12/2005		EXAM	INER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			Shah, Nilesh R		
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Fort Collins, Co			2195		
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/200	DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/981,906	LEFEVRE ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Nilesh Shah	2195
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
Period fo	• •	/ 10 OFT TO EVOIDE - MONTH	0) OD TUUDTY (00) DAY
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	eptember 2005.	
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar		
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1- 3, 5-9,11,13,15-19</u> is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1- 3, 5-9,11,13,15-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• •	
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Confederation are confederation as objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO.413)
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	te of References Cited (F10-692) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/981,906

Art Unit: 2195

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3, 5-9,11,13,15-19 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-9,11,13,15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. In claim 1, line 6 O/S must be spelled out the first time it is used in the claims.
- 4. In claim 1, lines 5-7 it is unclear how a command can be O/S dependent? Is there a way for a command to not be O/S dependent? All commands must at some level run through an O/S.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-9,11,13,15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratnaraj et al (6,185,567) (hereinafter Ratnaraj) in view of Fink (6,289,463).

Art Unit: 2195

- 7. As per claim 1, Ratnaraj teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for configuring a target device to operate as peripheral hardware for a host device, comprising the computer executed steps of:

 determining if there is a match of the log-in request host designator to a host designator (fig. 4 element 90; col.1 lines 54-66; col. 3 lines 20-26; col. 3 lines 46-50; col. 5 lines 5-8; col. 9 lines 25-34; col. 3 lines 7 lines 16).
- 8. Ratnaraj does not specifically teach the use of an selecting an operating system.

 Fink teaches accessing a table of host designators and associated O/S protocol types (fig. 3 element 302,310,305; col. 7 lines 25-50);

 receiving a log-in request to connect the target device to the host device, wherein the log-in request includes a host designator identifying a type of host device(col. 2 lines 25-40; col. 4 lines 40-66);

 receiving a command from the host device, determining if the command is an O/S dependent command (fig. 3 element 302,310,305; col. 7 lines 25-50; col.3 lines 1-17);

 selecting an O/S type protocol associated with the match to the host designator such that the O/S protocol selected is used by the target device to interpret commands received from the host device (col. 2 lines 25-40; col. 4 lines 40-66; col.3 lines 1-17).
- 9. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Fink and Ratnaraj because Fink's use of being able to select an operating system would improve Ratnaraj's system by allowing for each user to be able to change from different operating system.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,906

Art Unit: 2195

10. As per claim 2, Ratnaraj teaches a method wherein the host designator is a worldwide

Page 4

name (col. 1 lines 10-13).

11. As per claim 3, Fink teaches a method further comprising the step of determining if a

mode parameter is set for a default O/S protocol; and selecting that default O/S protocol

unless there is a match of the log- in request host designator in the table (fig. 3 element

302,310,305; col. 7 lines 25-50; col.3 lines 1-17).

12. As per claim 5, Fink teaches a method further comprising the step of storing the table in

non-volatile memory in the target device (col. 4 lines 50-60).

13. As per claim 6, Fink teaches a method wherein the target device is a memory array (col. 4

lines 50-60).

14. Claim 7 is rejected based on claim 1 above.

15. Claim 8 is rejected based on claim 2 above.

16. Claim 9 is rejected based on claim 5 above.

17. Claim 10 is rejected based on claim 4 above.

Page 5

18. Claim 11 is rejected based on claim 1 above.

- 19. Claim 13 is rejected based on claim 3 above.
- 20. As per claim 15, Fink a method wherein the target device communicates with the host device via a SCSI interface (col. 4 lines 10-25; col. 5 lines 22-37; col. 2 lines 33-39).
- 21. As per claim 16, Fink a method, wherein the target device is directly connected to the host device via the SCSI interface (col. 4 lines 10-25; col. 5 lines 22-37; col. 2 lines 33-39).
- 22. As per claim 17, Fink a method wherein the target device is a peripheral device of the host (col. 4 lines 10-25; col. 5 lines 22-37; col. 2 lines 33-39).
- 23. As per claim 18, Fink a method wherein the target device communicates with the host device via a SCSI interface (col. 4 lines 10-25; col. 5 lines 22-37; col. 2 lines 33-39).
- 24. As per claim 19, Fink a method wherein the target device is directly connected to the host device via the SCSI interface (col. 4 lines 10-25; col. 5 lines 22-37; col. 2 lines 33-39).

Response to Arguments

25. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-9,11,13,15-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,906

Art Unit: 2195

Conclusion

Page 6

26. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Wolff (6,185,601) teaches the use of operating system dependent commands;

Dorn et al (6,012,081) also teaches the use of an operating system dependent command.

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nilesh Shah whose telephone number is (571)272-3771.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nilesh Shah

Examiner

Art Unit 2195

NS

December 5, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT

TECHNICI DEV CENTER 210